https://creativitasjournal.in

Creativitas: Critical Explorations in Literary Studies

An International Journal of English and Cultural Studies

ISSN: 3048-8575 (Online)

Volume 3, Issue 1 (2026)

Manuscript Title: The Silence of Plants: Vegetal Testimony and Phytocritical Intervention in Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* (Book Review)

Author: Ankita Priyadarshini

Institutional Affiliation: Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of English, Nagaland University, Kohima Campus, Nagaland

Email: ankitapshi06@gmail.com

ORCiD: 0009-0007-7678-0866

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24282091

Introduction: Unmasking *Homo sapiens*

The present-day environmental devastation is interpreted by modern ecological philosophy as a grave environmental crisis and not simply a conglomeration of systematic poisoning or resource depletion. William H. Murdy in his paper "Anthropocentrism: A Modern Version" observes "The 'ecological crisis' is basically a crisis in biological evolution" (Murdy 321). From this statement, Murdy critiques environmental devastation which has emerged from the rapid technological advancement that has overshadowed ethical knowledge. In contradiction, mankind's ethical cognition failed to synchronize with technological advancement. The real cause behind this crisis

is when our knowledge which governs the destruction of the ecosystem surpasses our wisdom of utilizing nature for our sustenance and living conditions (Murdy 323).

In order to disentangle the ecological disproportion, humans' conscience and behaviour towards the non-human world must be examined. The Western framework is heavily bent towards anthropocentric construct which approaches nature as a repository of resources curated solely for human exploitation. The nascent theoretical frameworks, specifically phytocriticism and weak anthropocentrism dismantle these traditional structures. This study combines these diverse models to analyse the silence of the ecological world, which is not interpreted as absence, but the emerging pattern of plant agency.

The Anthropocentric Prism: Strong Vs Weak Paradigms

In the study of environmental humanities, anthropocentrism is observed as an indiscriminate deprecation which has regularized human actions. Byron G. Norton has made distinctions between weak and strong anthropocentrism separating them based on mankind's choices. He explains strong anthropocentrism as, "all value countenanced by it is explained by reference to satisfaction of felt preferences of human individuals" (Norton 314). The "felt preference" he speaks about refers to a quick, unscrutinized need which can be momentarily appeased by a particular instance. Strong anthropocentrism accepts these unprocessed and acritical felt preferences as the final goal, it isolates productivism from moral critiques. This thought process provides no way to critique human attitude which simply considers nature a repository of raw materials to serve humans (Norton 314).

On the other hand, Norton elucidates weak anthropocentrism as the reference of satisfaction of considered preferences or the complete morals seated within a pragmatic discourse (Norton 314). The "considered preference" is counterfactual desire theorized by critical evaluation which is globally accepted with scientific theories and practically supported ethical ideals (Norton 314). Weak anthropocentrism emphasizes on ethical resources which deals with nature protection. It explicitly criticises human behaviour which risks environmental harmony. Carson in *Silent Spring* has critiqued environmental devastation with allegorical juxtaposition starting from the happy former days where the American town was flourishing with large variety of birds and pleasant climate. She then shifts to the present silence caused by humans with dying livestock and obscure ailments. Finally, she transits to the main message

where the "spring without voices" (Carson 4) is not a result of sorcery but a "white granular powder" (Carson 4) that humans showered upon themselves. Mankind's contact with the undisturbed spaces reflects our ethical outlook which means, "nature takes on value as a teacher of human values" (Norton 314) instead of functioning as a pacifier of market demand.

Value Domains	Strong Anthropocentrism	Weak Anthropocentrism (Norton/ Murdy)	Phytocriticism/ Vegetal Non-Anthropocentrism
Ground of value	Specifically human felt preferences (unexamined wants)	Human considered preferences parallel with practical worldviews	Plant ontologies, where the plants have their own way of being with intrinsic worth
Biocentric valuation	Purely commodified, extractive, and exploitative, where nature is a storehouse.	Utilitarian but autonomous; nature is a life-giving force and ethical teacher	Autotelic and revolutionary; plants act as autonomous, affective and expressive beings instead of passive subjects
Moral evaluation of human desires	Absent; human felt preferences dominate earth's resources	Surplus; human greed is critiqued if they disturb the environmental balance	Independent; human actions are explicitly put under check by vegetal ethics and the non-human rights
Speculation of "Silence"	Void storehouse or unclaimed standing reserve	A sign of structural failure risking the long-term human survival and vitality	An engaging form of phyto-testimony which embodies memory, friction and sovereignty through calculated rejection

Table 1: *Comparative Matrix of Anthropocentric and Non-Anthropocentric Frameworks*

Ecological Regeneration in *Silent Spring* and Phytocritical Theory

Humans and the world of flora share a bond which can be reframed through the framework of phytocriticism. It is an ecocritical framework which disrupts the conventional cultural dichotomy

© Creativitas: Critical Explorations in Literary Studies. Content published under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

and revives autonomous agency, consciousness and voice to plants. The vegetal world is viewed as an equal share holder of the biosphere rather than a commodity to be exploited. John Charles Ryan proposed phytocriticism to oppose the traditional focus on animal over plant agencies within environmental humanities. He introduces "vegetal dialectics" as a framework where human poetic thought blends with plant agency (Ryan 14-15). This model presents the distinctions and similarities while preserving the uniqueness of the flora and acknowledging the affinity. It opposes the Hegelian model which simply tries to balance the self with the other maintaining the difference. An instance of this theory is found in Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* which exposes the ecological devastations caused by industrial capitalist hubris and chemical battle against nature. The allegory she begins the text with slowly transitions towards systematic scientific interpretation. The text highlights chlorinated hydrocarbons like DDT, aldrin, endrin and chlordane which function as "biological magnifiers" and they pile up in fatty tissues causing long-term liver necrosis (Carson 87).

The author also discusses parathion and malathion which destroys protective enzyme cholinesterase resulting in severe neurological convulsions and damage (Carson 25). Carson emphasizes on "systematic insecticides" that diffuse plant tissues and poisons honey and nectar (Carson 28). Carson's illustration of the destruction of the vegetal environment by pesticides, and insecticides like DDT displays how human intervention destroys the symmetry of the natural world. She writes, the vegetation of the earth is distributed in a manner that there are close-knitted relationships between plants and the earth, plants and other animals and between plants and animals (Carson 51). Carson's theories align with phytocritical theories postulating that plants are not passive agents but are entangled structures within large, communicative living system. Instead of looking at it through the perspective of an intruder who seeks to dominate it, the essay notes that the removal of unwanted flora using chemical weed killers which intoxicates the soil, the water table and the population of animals in the surrounding area, ultimately destroying human health. Carson highlights the systematic failure by mentioning in chapter 8 titled 'And No Birds Sing' that after years of spraying DDT excessively, a lot of bird population have disappeared.

The author has mentioned a letter written by a housewife from the town of Hinsdale, Illinois who mentioned about this bird disappearance. She also mentions how difficult it becomes to explain the little children on the disappearance of birds (Carson 83). Surveying the ecological

destructions, the text cautions against ecocide of high modernity. Carson's calls for a local ecological ethic for repairing the destruction, an approach that drifts from potent chemical extraction and drifts towards an organic model, which supports interdependence. The amelioration requires the inclusive approach which protects both plants and animals and treats them as crucial agents.

Integration: Inclined to an Eco-ethical Trajectory

Combating the rigorous issues requires a strong model which links the ideals of phytocriticism with the systems of weak anthropocentrism. The integration of these models demonstrates that environmental stewardship lies in exercising ecological constraint and ethical contemplation. Carson highlights that the excessive use of pesticides extends beyond the destruction of fauna and often leads to the ignorance of the flora building the foundation of ecological demolition. The application of phytocriticism helps us to keep strong vigilance over our ecological system and check the natural devastations as structural rectification. This integrated framework allows us to observe the human journey as a strongly connected system within the comprehensive cosmology.

By holding deep reverence for the silence of plants, humans acknowledge their dependence on the ecological system and with moral choices, mankind can protect their traditional future and guard the world of flora they are surrounded with. Ultimately, the paper explores that the ecological renewal requires more than environmental administration and mandates a moral re-alignment that embraces reciprocity and reverence of vegetal life. Hence, *Silent Spring* analysed through the dual lens of anthropocentrism and phytocriticism functions as a groundwork that structures the contemporary discourse on plant agency, ecological multispecies equity and restorative cohabitation.

References

Carson, Rachel. *Silent Spring*. Penguin Classics, 2000.

Murdy, William H. "Anthropocentrism: A Modern Version" in Botzler, Richard G. & Susan J. Armstrong. *Environmental Ethics*. McGraw- Hill, 1998, pp. 316-323.

© Creativitas: Critical Explorations in Literary Studies. Content published under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

Norton, Bryan. G. "Environmental Ethics and Weak Anthropocentrism" in Botzler, Richard G. & Susan J. Armstrong. *Environmental Ethics*. McGraw- Hill, 1998, pp. 313-315.

Ryan, John Charles. *Plants in Contemporary Poetry: Ecocriticism and the Botanical Imagination*. Routledge, 2018.

Conflict of Interest: The author/s declared no conflicts of interest.

Article History: Received: 28 June 2026. Accepted: 10 October 2026. Published: 30 November 2026.

Copyright: © 2026 by the author/s.

License: *The Sapientia Initiative*. Distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Publisher: https://thesapientiainitiative.org/