Creativitas: Critical Explorations in Literary Studies
ISSN: 3048-8575 (Online)
Volume – 2, Issue – 1 (2025), pp. 136-153
https://creativitasjournal.in

Reimagining Oral Epics: Memory, Identity, and Literary Traditions in African Poetry

Dr. Kehinde Oyetimi

Abstract: This paper examines how African poets reimagine oral epic traditions through the lens of material-affective assemblages to sustain, reconfigure, and transmit cultural memory in contexts of socio-historical transformation. Drawing on affect theory and new materialist frameworks—particularly the works of Karen Barad, Nick Fox and Pam Alldred—this study theorizes memory as both affectively embodied and materially situated. Through a close reading and interpretive analysis of Okot p'Bitek's *Song of Lawino* and *Song of Ocol*, Mazisi Kunene's *Emperor Shaka the Great*, and Niyi Osundare's *The Eye of the Earth*, the paper explores how these poets extend oral epic forms into written poetry as dynamic assemblages of sound, rhythm, landscape, affect, and political memory. Methodologically, the paper employs qualitative textual analysis informed by material-discursive readings, tracing the entanglement of oral elements such as praise poetry, proverbs, ritual language, and mythic allusions with sensory and spatial resonances that shape communal memory and cultural identity. The findings reveal that p'Bitek's satirical deployment of Acholi oral forms critiques colonial disruptions while grounding memory in the everyday materialities of domestic life, gendered labor, and intergenerational conflict. Kunene's epic retelling of Zulu history reanimates collective memory as a political force, where the performance of heroism and the aesthetics of orality become affective tools for cultural restoration and post-apartheid identity formation. Osundare's environmental poetics, grounded in Yoruba cosmology, enact a layered interplay between land as memory archive and poetic form as resistance to ecological and cultural erasure. This research advances current

debates on oral traditions by demonstrating how African poets do not merely preserve epic memory but radically recompose it through material-affective strategies that transform the way cultural knowledge is embodied, archived, and transmitted. The paper reframes oral epics as affective-material interventions, thereby contributing to conversations on cultural resilience, genre hybridity, and the political life of memory in African literature. It also extends the relevance of oral traditions to broader postcolonial and global discourses where embodied memory, ecological belonging, and literary innovation intersect as forces of cultural transformation.

Keywords: *African oral epics, Affective memory, Cultural resilience, Materiality of memory, Postcolonial resistance.*

Background and Significance

African oral epics occupy a foundational position within the continent's literary and cultural landscapes. As living texts, they function as repositories of collective memory, embodying historical consciousness, social values, and communal identity. These narratives—expressed through song, chant, performance, and storytelling—do not merely recount past events but serve as dynamic instruments for historical negotiation, moral reflection, and cultural continuity. Oral epics preserve genealogies, heroic deeds, cosmologies, and ethical paradigms, functioning simultaneously as entertainment, pedagogy, and archives. In societies such as the Acholi, Zulu, and Yoruba, these performative traditions foster participatory historical engagement, in which history is not only remembered but re-experienced, reimagined, and reconfigured. With the advent of colonial education and the textualization of African literature, writers like Okot p'Bitek, Mazisi Kunene, and Niyi Osundare began transforming these oral forms into written literary expressions.

This adaptation, however, is not a mere act of documentation; it is a creative and political re-articulation of cultural memory and epistemology.

Memory, within this literary framework, functions as both affect and structure—emerging not solely from individual recollection but from shared cultural experiences embedded in social, environmental, and material realities. Memory in oral epics is embodied and enacted rather than archived in static form. It is interwoven with materiality—landscapes, ancestral objects, ritual acts—constituting what Fox and Alldred term an "affective-material assemblage" that resists the dualisms of mind and matter, past and present, or individual and collective (Fox and Alldred 318). Karen Barad's notion of "intra-action," as part of her theory of agential realism, further enriches this view by suggesting that memory and identity are co-constituted through the entanglement of human and non-human agencies (Barad 135). In this light, African poetry that draws from oral epic traditions becomes not only a textual expression of memory but also a performative reactivation of living, material histories.

This study examines how the works of Okot p'Bitek, Mazisi Kunene, and Niyi Osundare engage with and transform African oral epic traditions by adapting narrative structures, stylistic devices, and thematic concerns into written forms that maintain the cultural dynamism of orality. It analyzes how these poets blend traditional devices such as praise poetry, proverbs, and mythic allusions with modern poetic forms to articulate histories and identities that counter colonial narratives. Importantly, the research highlights how affective experiences—grief, joy, and ancestral longing—interact with material objects and landscapes in their poetry, creating a layered mnemonic space where identity and resistance converge. This reconfiguration positions African poetry as a site where oral traditions are not only preserved but re-imagined to address contemporary social, political, and environmental realities. By investigating the interplay of memory, materiality, and

identity in their poetic works, the study highlights how these poets resist cultural erasure, affirm indigenous knowledge systems, and contribute to the evolving forms of postcolonial literary resistance.

The reimagining of oral epics in contemporary African poetry requires a conceptual lens that accounts for the dynamic interplay between memory, matter, affect, and form. To analyze this interrelationship, the present study draws on an interdisciplinary synthesis of Oral Tradition Theory, Memory Studies, and New Materialist thought, with particular emphasis on the concepts of affective memory and materiality. These frameworks help to illuminate how memory in African poetry operates not simply as cognitive recall or historical representation, but as an entangled, material-affective phenomenon embedded in bodies, environments, and poetic form.

Affective memory refers to the ways in which memory is experienced and transmitted through emotions, sensations, intensities, and embodied practices rather than solely through narrative or symbolic representation. In contrast to linear or archival notions of memory, affective memory emphasizes how certain moments or histories resonate viscerally—through sound, rhythm, gesture, tone, and spatial-temporal reactivation. Nick Fox and Pam Alldred, in their articulation of a "materialist approach to affect," reject the traditional psychological notion of memory as internal and representational. Instead, they propose that affective memory is emergent, performative, and distributed across social-material assemblages (Fox and Alldred 321). Memory is not stored in the brain like data in a file; rather, it arises through intra-actions between the body, the social context, and the environment.

This perspective is especially potent when applied to African poetic adaptations of oral epics, where memory is encoded not just in words but in culturally specific tonalities, ancestral invocations, ritual rhythms, and communal

echoes. The lament of Lawino, the incantatory voice of Kunene's Shaka, or Osundare's ecological invocations are not merely conveying remembered facts—they are *enacting* a memory that is embodied, felt, and communally reactivated. These poems function as affective triggers that awaken cultural consciousness and historical awareness through sensory engagement.

Fox and Alldred argue that such affective expressions emerge from assemblages—constellations of human and nonhuman actors that include the body, landscape, music, sound, and social relations (Fox and Alldred 323). Thus, African poetry when drawing on oral epic traditions becomes a kind of affective machinery through which memory circulates and transforms. It is not the poet alone who remembers but the poem as a material-affective configuration that *remembers through performance*.

Closely allied with affective memory is the concept of *materiality*, especially as articulated in Karen Barad's theory of "agential realism *and* flat ontology" (Barad 26). Barad challenges the Cartesian division between mind and matter, proposing instead that all phenomena emerge through "intra-action," a term she coins to emphasize that entities do not preexist their relations but are constituted through them (Barad 136). In this ontological flattening, human and nonhuman agents—language, landscape, technology, voice, soil, memory—are all part of the same entangled field of meaning-making.

In the context of African poetry, this theoretical shift enables a more expansive view of how memory and identity are produced. For Barad, the past is not a fixed entity behind us but a "material hauntology"—a force that continues to shape and be shaped by present practices (Barad qtd. In Murris 76). Memory then, is not a passive recall of history but an active materialization through poetic intra-actions. In Osundare's *The Eye of the Earth*, for example, rivers, trees, and rocks are not

background symbols but agential participants in historical and ecological memory. Similarly, in Kunene's *Emperor Shaka the Great*, the past is re-materialized through Zulu oral aesthetics, where drumming, chanting, and invocation function as ontological acts—producing the Shaka-myth not as a distant memory but as a living, breathing presence.

Barad's framework also aligns with African cosmologies that do not separate the material from the spiritual or the individual from the collective. The ancestral in African poetics often speaks through the material world—through wind, fire, song, or mask—not as metaphor but as *ontological co-presence*. In this regard, materiality in African poetry is never inert. It is animated, participatory, and mnemonic. It offers what Barad terms "diffraction" rather than a reflection—revealing the layered entanglements of past and present, self and other, voice and matter (Barad 168).

The theoretical insights of Fox and Alldred foreground the role of bodily sensations, social positioning, and environmental encounters in shaping mnemonic experience (Fox and Alldred 325). Barad's notion of intra-action adds a vital ontological dimension, showing how poetry as matter participates in the ongoing becoming of memory. Together, these frameworks enable a re-reading of African oral epic adaptations as sites of affective-material resonance—where poetry remembers *with the world*, not simply *about* the world.

Reconfiguring Oral Epic Traditions in African Poetry: Affective Memory and Material Re-inscription

In *Song of Lawino* and *Song of Ocol*, Okot p'Bitek orchestrates a dynamic interplay of oral aesthetics and affective memory to expose the epistemological violence of colonial modernity. By deploying oral poetic strategies—such as repetition, idiomatic metaphor, invocatory rhythm, and performative irony—p'Bitek does not simply preserve tradition; he actively reconfigures it. Lawino's voice, saturated with

the textures of Acholi oral idioms, emerges not as a nostalgic echo but as an affective force that mobilizes memory and emotion within a specific material context. Her metaphors are not linguistic ornaments but affective-material events—conduits through which the sensorium of indigenous life is reactivated.

Consider the metaphor of the snake: "You are like a child / Who has dug up a snake / From its hole / And now cries / That it bites him!" (p'Bitek 45). This is not merely a simile of foolishness—it is a multisensory evocation that draws from tactile, ecological, and communal experiences. The snake here is a culturally coded being, embedded in the living memory of village cautionary tales and rituals. It functions as what affect theorists might describe as an "intensifier of experience"—a figure whose affective resonance is both bodily and historical (Robinson qtd. in Johnson 182). Through this metaphor, Lawino dramatizes how colonial mimicry severs the self from the communal matrix of affective knowledge, rendering the imitator not only ridiculous but endangered. Such metaphors align with Karen Barad's concept of "intra-action", where agency is not a possession but an effect of relations among bodies, discourses, and matter. The snake, the child, the wound, and the utterance form an entangled event, rendering colonial alienation not as abstract theory but as embodied crisis.

This entanglement is further evident in Lawino's lamentation over the denigration of traditional dance and music: "He says the dance is ugly, / The dancers are clumsy and foolish, / He says the drums are crude…" (p'Bitek 66). These lines do more than articulate disdain—they materialize a tension between two epistemological systems: one rooted in embodied, performative memory, and the other in colonial aesthetic hierarchies. The drum, in this context, is not merely an object of music; it is an affective artifact. Its beat carries the rhythm of ceremonies, the pulse of ancestry, and the emotional charge of communal participation. From a materialist standpoint, the drum is an agent in a cultural ecology that sustains identity

through sensory praxis. By dismissing it, Ocol does not merely reject a tradition—he disrupts an entire network of affective and epistemic continuity. He participates in what Barad would describe as a "cut" in the field of relational being—a material exclusion that produces colonial modernity through the erasure of indigenous entanglements.

Ocol's insistence— "I am a modern man, / I do not waste my time / In the backwardness / Of my people!" (p'Bitek 10)—performs this cut dramatically. His words do not simply state an ideological preference; they enact an ontological rupture. His rejection of millet granaries, village songs, and community rituals is also a rejection of the affective-material infrastructures that constitute identity in the Acholi world. Read through Fox and Alldred's lens, this is a refusal of the flows of affect that circulate through the land, the body, and shared practices. Modernity, in p'Bitek's work, is not a neutral progression but a violent disassembly of relational worlds.

Conversely, Lawino's invocation of the Acholi homestead is a counter-performative act—an ontological reclamation through affective memory: "In the granary lies plenty, / The pots are full of millet, / The women pound it singing…" (p'Bitek 85). These lines do not offer a static image of tradition; they evoke a material-affective assemblage where bodies, practices, and objects co-produce a world of meaning. The pounding of millet, the rhythm of the song, the fullness of the pots—each is an affective node that connects the speaker to ancestral rhythms. Lawino's evocation resists the foreclosure of time imposed by colonial historicism. It insists on the contemporaneity of the traditional—on the capacity of oral memory to inhabit and generate the now. This is not a politics of preservation, but one of transformation, where identity is continuously forged through the sensory and the material.

Mazisi Kunene's *Emperor Shaka the Great* intensifies this aesthetic project by reinvesting the epic form with performative, political, and ontological urgency. Rather than mourning the loss of oral tradition, Kunene's text celebrates its metamorphosis into new textual modalities. His epic is not an inert repository of history but a reactivating field where memory becomes a force of cultural reassembly. In lines such as "[t]he ancestors stand in the shadow of time, / Their voices echo in the cries of the living…" (Kunene 3), the past is not a chronological antecedent but a resonant presence. This echoes Barad's reconfiguration of temporality as diffraction—where past and present intra-act, producing entangled subjectivities that are neither linear nor fixed.

For Kunene, the land is not a mere backdrop but an animate archive: "The hills whisper with the breath of warriors, / The rivers sing of battles long past…" (Kunene 52). These are not metaphors in the Western literary sense, but invocations of a worldview in which the environment is an active participant in memory-making. Fox and Alldred's emphasis on the material co-production of affect underscores how the hills and rivers (318), far from being passive symbols, operate as nodes in a relational field of historical feeling. They bear the weight of ancestral struggle and transmit it to contemporary consciousness through poetic utterance.

Kunene's depiction of Shaka— "He rose like the morning sun, / His voice thundered across the valleys…" (Kunene 87)—deploys the hyperbolic mode of oral praise poetry, but it also constructs Shaka as an affective assemblage: a figure who condenses bodily power, ancestral authorization, and environmental resonance. Shaka's rise is not merely symbolic; it is energetic, embodied, and relational. He becomes a *becoming*—a force that catalyzes collective subjectivity and historical renewal. Through this affective poetics, Kunene rewrites the epic not as a static form but as a practice of epistemic sovereignty, one that resists archival fixation by remaining open to reactivation.

The oral epic in these works is not a vestige but a volatile field of cultural transformation. When read through the frameworks of affect theory and materialist ontology, both p'Bitek and Kunene's texts challenge the binary logic of tradition versus modernity. They posit the oral not as a static inheritance but as a dynamic process of becoming—where memory, emotion, and matter coalesce to form living genealogies of resistance and renewal. The epic, then, is not merely a literary form; it is a sensorium—a matrix of affective-material intensities through which African cultures continue to narrate, feel, and refashion their being in the world.

Intersection of Oral Traditions, Memory, and Postcolonial Resistance

Kunene's mythopoetic reconstruction of the Zulu oral epic in *Emperor Shaka the Great* exemplifies how oral tradition operates as a dynamic repository of cultural memory and an instrument for identity formation. Drawing on the performative conventions of *izibongo* (praise poetry), with their rhythmic call-and-response, invocation of ancestors, and heroic glorification—Kunene mobilizes oral traditions not simply as literary devices but as material-affective assemblages that enact communal memory. In one passage, he writes: "The ancestors stand in the shadow of time, / Their voices echo in the cries of the living, / Shaka, son of the dawn, the spear of the nation, / His footsteps blaze the path for those to come" (Kunene, 3). The ancestral invocation here does not merely recall the past; it animates the present with ancestral presence, positioning cultural memory as an affective force that moves across generations. By reviving the oral mode in a written, mythic epic, Kunene retools orality as a regenerative political force, rendering the Shakan figure as both historical and affective mythos. The oral epic, in this sense, performs a reterritorialization of African identity, resisting the disembodied narratives of colonial historiography by re-embedding memory in lived affect and indigenous cosmology.

Osundare, in *The Eye of the Earth*, enacts a different but equally potent fusion of orality and material-affective experience. Drawing from Yoruba oral poetics—where song, incantation, and environmental attunement form an indivisible triad—Osundare recasts the earth itself as a remembering agent, a sentient material entity that carries the weight of historical trauma and ecological continuity. In "The Earth's Memory," he writes: "Earth has a memory longer than sorrow, / A pulse beneath the feet that beats in rhythm / With the songs of rivers and the stories of trees" (Osundare, 12). This poetic vision disrupts anthropocentric paradigms of memory and locates identity within a relational ecology, where land, memory, and self are co-constituted. The poem is not only an ecological lament but also a philosophical affirmation of the land's agency—its ability to affect and be affected. Osundare's poetics thus foreground an ontology where memory is sedimented in the material world and where oral tradition becomes a medium for articulating an ecocritical resistance to the exploitative logics of colonial-capitalist modernity. His engagement with Yoruba oral epistemologies revives not just a set of aesthetic forms but an entire mode of knowing grounded in reciprocity, rhythm, and environmental intimacy.

Okot p'Bitek's *Song of Lawino* introduces a rupture to this continuity by dramatizing the cultural disaffection precipitated by colonial education and Westernization. Rooted in Acholi oral traditions, the poem enacts its critique through performative repetition, parallelism, and proverbial logic—hallmarks of oral storytelling that p'Bitek strategically redeploys to unmask the internalized colonial gaze. Lawino's scornful address to Ocol, her Westernized husband, makes visible the psychic dissonance of postcolonial modernity: "You are like a child / Who has dug up a snake / From its hole / And now cries / That it bites him!" (p'Bitek, 45). The proverb here functions as a cognitive-affective device—didactic and emotionally charged simultaneously —signifying both the absurdity and the tragedy of cultural alienation. Lawino's voice, steeped in communal idioms and moral clarity, becomes a conduit through which oral tradition articulates both critique and healing. In contrast

to Kunene's mythic recuperation and Osundare's ecological rooting, p'Bitek's poem is structurally dialogic and ideologically conflicted. Ocol's counter-voice in *Song of Ocol*— "I am a modern man, /I do not waste my time /In the backwardness /Of my people!" (p'Bitek, 10)—embodies the internal colonization of the African elite, illustrating the fractured subjectivity produced by colonial hegemony. Here, memory is not only contested but violently cleaved from its cultural source.

Each of these poets, in different registers, reveals how oral traditions operate as material-affective infrastructures through which identity, resistance, and cultural transformation are negotiated. Kunene embeds affective continuity within mythic lineage; Osundare binds affective knowledge to ecological consciousness; p'Bitek channels communal critique through the emotionally charged rhythms of oral satire. In all three cases, oral tradition is not a passive inheritance but a vibrant mode of world-making, through which affect, memory, and matter are continually assembled and reassembled in the aftermath of colonial disruption.

Reconfiguring Memory and Identity in African Poetry

It therefore follows that the poetic configurations of Kunene, Osundare, and p'Bitek, when examined through the dual lenses of affective memory and materiality, offer an enriched understanding of oral tradition as a dynamic site where cultural identity, resistance, and historical consciousness are not merely represented but *performed and co-constituted*. Rather than viewing memory as a repository of static content, the poets reveal memory as a relational, affectively charged process that emerges from and is embedded within material, bodily, and ecological contexts.

Fox and Alldred's materialist affect theory, which emphasizes that affect arises not within subjects but through assemblages of bodies, practices, discourses, and environments (321), provides a compelling interpretive framework for understanding how orality functions in these texts. Kunene's *Emperor Shaka the*

Great, for instance, does not merely recall the past through narrative; it enacts memory as an affective assemblage involving ancestral voices, mythic temporality, cultural embodiment, and communal identification. The rhythms of Zulu *izibongo* are not only literary forms—they are affective technologies that reanimate collective identity through sensory and performative engagement. The line "The ancestors stand in the shadow of time…" (Kunene 3) indexes the spectral presence of ancestral agency not as a metaphor but as a material affect—a pulse that animates contemporary Zulu identity and mobilizes cultural pride. Here, memory is not an internal cognitive act but a transpersonal and material flow, organized and sustained through oral performativity.

In Barad's terms, this poetic enactment of ancestral presence exemplifies intra-action—a key concept in her agential realist theory—which posits that entities do not precede their relations but emerge through them (Barad 128). Kunene's text stages a becoming of Shaka not as a fixed historical figure, but as a phenomenon emerging through the entangled relations of oral poetics, cultural longing, and nationalist desire. The epic thus performs what Barad calls material-discursive practices, where orality and memory are entangled in the production of meaning and subjectivity.

Osundare's *The Eye of the Earth* extends this configuration by grounding affective memory in the materiality of the environment, enacting what might be called ecological assemblages of memory. His depiction of the earth as a remembering, affect-bearing entity— "Earth has a memory longer than sorrow…"—foregrounds the agency of nonhuman actors in shaping cultural continuity. In Barad's ontology, matter is not a passive substance but active, agentic, and participating in the unfolding of events. Osundare's vision aligns with this flat ontology: the earth is not a backdrop to human action but a co-constitutor of identity, memory, and resistance. The affective relations between human bodies, land, and oral storytelling

produce what Fox and Alldred call affective flows, which "transmit social forces and capacities" across time and space (319).

Moreover, Osundare's poetic strategy disrupts Western literary hierarchies by foregrounding indigenous environmental epistemologies in which the material world is storied, sentient, and ethical. His poems suggest that memory is embedded in soil, rivers, and trees, thereby displacing Eurocentric anthropocentric notions of culture and insisting instead on relational ontology—a world where identity emerges through entanglement with place, not through its transcendence.

In contrast, p'Bitek's *Song of Lawino* dramatizes what Fox and Alldred would term a disrupted affective assemblage (320). Lawino's body, voice, and emotive gestures—channeled through the structure of Acholi oral tradition—attempt to sustain an indigenous affective ecology ruptured by the colonial imposition of Western modernity. The satire, repetition, and proverbial language through which Lawino speaks operate as affective intensities designed to realign communal affect, to reawaken a dislocated cultural consciousness. When Lawino says, "You are like a child / Who has dug up a snake…" (66) the proverb functions not merely as a linguistic metaphor but as an affectively charged embodied critique of cultural betrayal. In Fox and Alldred's model, this is not simply an emotional appeal but a material-affective intervention—an attempt to reconfigure the affective relations between body, tradition, and modernity (318).

Ocol's counter-narrative in *Song of Ocol*, however, reveals the internalization of a colonial affective regime, where the modern subject seeks to disassemble the oral, embodied past in favor of a rational, literate self. The violence of this disaffection— "I do not waste my time / In the backwardness / Of my people!"—is not just ideological (22); it is affective and material. It indexes a disarticulation of indigenous assemblages of memory, an erasure of the affective circuits that once

bound community, ritual, and land. Lawino's resistance is thus not only political; it is ontological. She fights to reclaim the affective integrity of a world threatened by colonial modernity's fragmentation of material and symbolic relations.

Across these poets' works, memory emerges as an affective force-in-motion—not the recollection of a static past, but the embodied, material reconstitution of identity through culturally grounded oral practices. These practices, when viewed through Barad's and Fox and Alldred's frameworks, cease to be mere representations and become acts of material world-making. Oral tradition becomes not only a medium of transmission but a site of affective-material struggle, where memory is continually recomposed within historically contingent and materially situated assemblages.

Therefore, the oral epic, the ecological lyric, and the satirical monologue are not only aesthetic forms; they are cultural apparatuses that mediate how affect, memory, and resistance are lived and transmitted. They demonstrate that orality, far from being a premodern relic, is an ontologically robust system of engaging with the world—one that demands theoretical approaches capable of accounting for the entangled agencies of bodies, land, voices, and affective histories.

Conclusion

African poets engage in more than the mere preservation of oral traditions; they actively reimagine them to reflect contemporary cultural realities, using poetry as a dynamic space where memory, identity, and resistance converge. Kunene, p'Bitek, and Osundare illustrate how oral epics are not static relics of the past, but evolving literary forms that address modern struggles from post-apartheid nation-building to ecological degradation and cultural alienation. Their works highlight the convergence of affective and material memory—where emotions, landscapes, and ancestral voices

collectively shape historical consciousness—demonstrating the power of poetic storytelling in sustaining cultural narratives.

These poets, through the incorporation of oral performance technique into written poetry, assert that African literary traditions do not exist in opposition to global literary modernity but actively contribute to its evolution. Oral epics serve as vital instruments for identity formation, resistance, and cultural survival, reinforcing the idea that memory is not just about recollection but about agency and transformation. Kunene's mythic retelling of Shaka, p'Bitek's satirical critique of colonial mimicry, and Osundare's ecological lyricism all demonstrate how poetry can function as both a repository of tradition and a means of engaging with contemporary realities.

In terms of scholarly contribution, these poets expand discussions on African literary innovations by bridging oral and written traditions within postcolonial and global memory studies. Their works challenge dominant literary canons, proving that indigenous epistemologies are not merely historical artifacts but dynamic forces that continue to shape global narratives. By positioning oral traditions at the center of literary modernity, Kunene, p'Bitek, and Osundare redefine how memory operates within postcolonial literature, offering new frameworks for understanding the intersection of history, genre, and resistance.

References

Barad, Karen. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke UP, 2007.

Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.

Connerton, Paul. *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge UP, 1989.

Finnegan, Ruth. *Oral Literature in Africa*. Clarendon Press, 1970.

Fox, Nick J., and Pam Alldred. "Social Structures, Power and Resistance in Monist Sociology: (New) Materialist Insights." *Journal of Sociology*, vol. 54, no. 3, 2018, pp. 315–330. https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783317730615.

Kunene, Mazisi. *Emperor Shaka the Great: A Zulu Epic*. Heinemann, 1979.

Murris, Karin. Karen Barad as Educator: Agential Realism and Education. Springer, 2022.

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. Heinemann, 1986.

Nora, Pierre. "Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Mémoire." *Representations*, vol. 26, 1989, pp. 7–24. https://doi.org/10.2307/2928520.

---. *Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past*. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer, Columbia UP, 1996.

Odueme, Edoama Frances. "Orality, Memory and the New African Diaspora Poetry: Examining Tanure Ojaide's Poetics." *Afrika Focus*, vol. 32, no. 1, 2019, pp. 149–158. Brill, https://doi.org/10.1163/2031356X-03201010.

Okpewho, Isidore. *The Epic in Africa: Toward a Poetics of the Oral Performance*. Columbia UP, 1979.

Osundare, Niyi. *The Eye of the Earth*. Heinemann, 1986.

Otiono, Nduka, and Chiji Akọma, editors. *Oral Literary Performance in Africa: Beyond Text*. Routledge, 2021.

p'Bitek, Okot. *Song of Lawino & Song of Ocol*. Heinemann, 1984.

Robinson, Marilynne. "An Intensifier of Experience." *The Very Telling: Conversations with American Writers*, edited by Sarah Anne Johnson, University Press of New England, 2006, pp. 181-86.